

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,598 03/17/2004		Sakari Kotola	4208-4183 (NC45113)	1909	
27123	7590 09/05/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			NGUYEN, SIMON		
	, NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	· <u></u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/801,598	KOTOLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618		

Advisory Action	10/801,598 KOTOLA ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  3. M. The proposed amendment/s) filed offer a finel rejection.		***	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. Soo attached Nation of Nov. Co.		(DTOL 204)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	21. See allached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alnon-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)        □ will will will will will will wi	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-41 and 45</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8 and 42-44</u> .			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	SIMON NOUVEN	SIMON D NGUYEN Examiner	I
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Art Unit: 2618	

Continuation of 13. Other: the independent claims have been added with new limitations, e.g. download time and place information. Thus, it is required more search.